

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/707,178	11/25/2003	Shiu C. Ho	BUR920030146US1	1177
30449	7590 04/05/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHMEISER, OLSEN + WATTS			LUU, AN T	
3 LEAR JET I SUITE 201	LANE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LATHAM, N	Y 12110		2816	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			AI
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/707,178	HO, SHIU C.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	An T. Luu	2816	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of this eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ımunication.
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on A 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unc	This action is non-final. owance except for formal mat	•	nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) 4-9 and 14-19 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,10-13 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	ndrawn from consideration.		
_			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐		by the Eveniner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		•	R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTC)-152 .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a certified copies of the certified copies	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \(\sum_\) Interview \$	Summarγ (PTO-413)	
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-1	152\
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	3/08) 5) Notice of 1 6) Other:	, ,	102)

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Amendment filed on 3-15-05 has been received and entered in the case. The rejections set forth in the previous Office Action are withdrawn and new grounds of rejection is presented due to amendment of claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 10-13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the limitation "a second input of the second latch circuit" does not have a clear antecedent basis since there is no "a second input of the second latch circuit" recited earlier.

Regarding claim 11, it is rejected for the same reason set forth above.

Regarding claims 2-3, 10, 12, 13 and 20, they are rejected for being dependent on the rejected claims as noted above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 2816

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Pauls reference (US Patent 6,285,219) in view of the Wong et al reference (US Patent 6,621,320).

Pauls discloses in figure 3 a phase locked loop comprising a voltage controlled oscillator 314 adapted to provide a first clock signal VCOCLK comprising a first frequency; and a phase frequency detector 301 adapted to compare the first clock signal comprising the first frequency to a reference clock signal REFCLK comprising a reference frequency, the phase frequency detector comprising a first latch circuit 302 a second latch circuit 304 and a circuit 310 adapted to vary a minimum pulse width of an increment pulse and a minimum pulse width of a decrement pulse, the circuit being directly connected to a first input of the first latch circuit and a first input of the second latch circuit as partially required by claim 1. It is noted that the limitation "the circuit being further adapted to reduce a static phase error of the phase locked-loop circuit" is seen as intended use of the circuit.

The (delay) circuit 310 of Pauls is not shown as programmable circuit as specifically required by the claim.

Wong et al discloses in figure 5 a programmable delay circuit including a decoder 510 for enabling delay element(s) (i.e., enabling and/or disabling the delay line) as required by the claim.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Wong et al into that of Pauls since Pauls discloses in col.6, lines 21-22, that his delay circuit 310 is optional. In other words, the delay circuit 310 can be part of the circuit (i.e., enable mode as seen in Wong et al) or it can be omitted from the circuit (i.e., disable mode as seen in Wong et al).

Art Unit: 2816

A skilled artisan in the art would be motivated to utilize the programmable delay circuit taught by Wong et al into the teachings of Pauls since Wong's circuit is independent from temperature variation.

Regarding claim 11, it is rejected for reciting method/steps derived from the apparatus of claim 1 which is rejected as noted above.

5. Claims 1-3, 11-13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Pauls reference (US Patent 6,285,219) in view of the Jeong et al reference (US Patent 6,144,242).

Pauls discloses in figure 3 a phase locked loop comprising a voltage controlled oscillator 314 adapted to provide a first clock signal VCOCLK comprising a first frequency; and a phase frequency detector 301 adapted to compare the first clock signal comprising the first frequency to a reference clock signal REFCLK comprising a reference frequency, the phase frequency detector comprising a first latch circuit 302 a second latch circuit 304 and a circuit 310 adapted to vary a minimum pulse width of an increment pulse and a minimum pulse width of a decrement pulse, the circuit being directly connected to a first input of the first latch circuit and a first input of the second latch circuit as partially required by claim 1. It is noted that the limitation "the circuit being further adapted to reduce a static phase error of the phase locked-loop circuit" is seen as intended use of the circuit.

The (delay) circuit 310 of Pauls is not shown as programmable circuit as specifically required by the claim.

Art Unit: 2816

Jeong discloses in figure 4C a programmable delay circuit being control by a control signal as required by claim 1.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to replace a delay circuit in Pauls al with the one taught by Jeong since Pauls teaches in col. 5, lines 12-17 and col. 9, lines 7-14, that modification of components in his circuit may be practiced without some or all of specific details.

A skilled artisan in the art would have selected the programmable circuit taught by Jeong to incorporate into the teachings of Pauls since Jeong' programmable delay circuit would provide a digital control over a wider range of pulse widths.

As to claims 2 and 3, Jeong discloses in figure 4C a programmable circuit comprises a multiplexer 440 and a plurality of buffers 442, wherein the plurality of buffers is divided into a plurality of groups, wherein each of the plurality of groups comprises a different number of buffers, wherein each of the plurality of groups is electrically connected to the multiplexer, and wherein the multiplexer is adapted to switch between signals (i.e., by means of "control") from each of the plurality of groups to vary the minimum pulse width of the increment pulse and the minimum pulse width of the decrement pulse as required by the claims.

As to claims 12-13, they are rejected for reciting method/steps derived from the apparatus of claims 2-3 which is rejected as noted above.

As to claims 10 and 20, Pauls does not disclose an operable frequency range of the reference frequency as required by claims. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to determine an operational range and/or optimum range since it has been held that

Art Unit: 2816

where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 4-9 and 14-19 are allowed.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to discloses a programmable circuit and method thereof being configured as recited in claims 4 and 14. Specifically, none of the prior art teaches or fairly suggests a structure of an operational amplifier, first and second capacitor and a delay line as recited in claims.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2816

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An T. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

An T. Luu 3-21-05

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800